



IPC-7527
2012 - May
**Requirements for Solder
Paste Printing**

A standard developed by IPC

Association Connecting Electronics Industries



The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-7527

Requirements for Solder Paste Printing

Developed by the Solder Paste Printing Task Group Nordic (5-21JND)
of the Component Mounting Subcommittee (5-21) of the Assembly and
Joining Committee (5-20) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. Shown below are the principal members of the IPC-7527 development team including the Solder Paste Printing Task Group Nordic (5-21JND) of the Component Mounting Subcommittee (5-21) of the Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20). It is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of the IPC extend their gratitude.

Assembly and Joining Committee

Chair
Leo P. Lambert

Component Mounting Subcommittee

Chair
Peggi J. Blakley
NSWC Crane

Solder Paste Printing Task Group Nordic

Co-Chairs
Scott Pinney
Grundfos Management A/S

Steven Hansen
Vestas Control Systems

Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors

Peter Bigelow
IMI Inc.

Sammy Yi
Flextronics International

Component Mounting Subcommittee

Sonic Lu, Autoliv (China) Electronics Co., Ltd.

Claus Nielsen, BB Electronics A/S

Jens Chr. Jensen, Bent Hede Elektronik A/S

Steven Perng, Cisco Systems Inc.

Jørgen Stenstrup, Danfoss Drives A/S

Torggrim Nordhus, EMG Noratron AS

David Qi, Flextronics Mfg. (Zhuhai) Co. Ltd.

Jiyang Zhang, Flextronics Mfg. (Zhuhai) Co. Ltd.

Renie Zhao, Flextronics Mfg. (Zhuhai) Co. Ltd.

Henning Haubo, Flextronics Special Business Solutions

Jesper Konge, Gaasdal Bygningsindustri A/S

Scott Pinney, Grundfos Management A/S

Svein Olav Kolbu, Hapro AS

Jens Andersen, Hytek

Alex Christensen, Hytek

Poul Juul, Hytek

Søren Træholt, Kai Toft Elektronik ApS

Kim Poulsen, Kamstrup A/S

Erik Andresen, Linak A/S

Allan Sigfredsen, Necas A/S

Gregers Dybdal, Linak A/S

Kathrin Morgener Jensen, Protec Electronics ApS

Steven Hansen, Vestas Control Systems

Table of Contents

1 GENERAL	1	Figure 5-6 Centered Deposit	5
1.1 Scope	1	Figure 5-7 Centered Deposit	5
1.2 Purpose	1	Figure 5-8 Offset – Acceptable	5
1.3 Background	1	Figure 5-9 Offset – Acceptable	5
1.4 Terms and Definitions	1	Figure 5-10 Offset – Acceptable	5
1.4.1 Classification	1	Figure 5-11 Offset – Acceptable	5
1.4.2 Disposition*	1	Figure 5-12 Offset – Acceptable	6
1.4.3 Slumping	1	Figure 5-13 Offset – Acceptable	6
1.4.4 Combined Conditions	1	Figure 5-14 Offset – Defect	6
1.4.5 Designed Volume	1	Figure 5-15 Shorting – Defect	6
1.5 Specialized Designs	1	Figure 5-16 Offset – Defect	6
1.6 Verification of Dimensions	2	Figure 5-17 Area – Target	7
1.7 Magnification Aids	2	Figure 5-18 Area – Target	7
1.8 Lighting	2	Figure 5-19 Area – Acceptable	7
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	2	Figure 5-20 Area – Acceptable	7
2.1 IPC	2	Figure 5-21 Area – Defect	7
2.2 ASTM	2	Figure 5-22 Height – Target	8
3 CHOICE OF TECHNOLOGY	2	Figure 5-23 Height – Target	8
4 MEASUREMENT OF SOLDER PASTE DEPOSITS	3	Figure 5-24 Height – Process Indicator	8
5 SHAPE OF SOLDER PASTE DEPOSIT	4	Figure 5-25 Height – Defect	8
5.1 Solder Paste Deposit – Misalignment	4	Figure A-1 Solder Paste Printing with Squeegee Blades	9
5.1.1 Slump	4	Figure A-2 Solder Paste Printing with Enclosed Head System	9
5.1.2 Misregistration of Solder Paste Deposits	5	Figure A-3 Plastic from Packaging	9
5.1.3 Solder Paste Deposit – Area	7	Figure A-4 Glass Fiber from PCB	10
5.1.4 Solder Paste Deposit – Height	8	Figure A-5 Effect of Solder Mask	10
Appendix A Guideline for Operator Troubleshooting in the Solder Paste Screen Printing Process	9	Figure A-6 Effect of Silk Screen	10
		Figure A-7 Effect of Solder Paste	10
		Figure A-8 Result of Silk Screen Interference	10
		Figure A-9 Saddle Shape Deposit	10
		Figure A-10 Roof Top Shape Paste Deposit	10
		Figure A-11 Spikes on Paste Deposit	11
		Figure A-12 Increased Deposit Quantity	11
		Figure A-13 Decreased Quantity	11
		Figure A-14 Slumping/Smearing	11
		Figure A-15 Paste Residues	12
		Figure A-16 Long Smear	12
		Figure A-17 Offset	12
		Figure A-18 Excess Residue Along Direction of Travel	12
		Figure A-19 Residue Across Direction of Travel	13
		Figure A-20 Solder Balls	13
		Figure A-21 Close Up of Solder Balls	13
		Tables	
		Table 1-1 Inspection Magnification (Land Width)	2

Requirements for Solder Paste Printing

1 GENERAL

1.1 Scope This standard is a collection of visual quality acceptability criteria for solder paste printing.

1.2 Purpose The purpose of this guideline document is to support the user in the visual evaluation of the solder paste printing process, which makes subsequent process optimizing possible.

The purpose of this guideline is not to inspect and evaluate the quality of the solder paste. For information on the evaluation of solder paste, see J-STD-005, *Requirements for Soldering Pastes* and IPC-HDBK-005.

The purpose is not to define requirements to stencil design. For information on stencil design, see IPC-7525, *Stencil Design Guideline*.

Appendix A provides different error types and suggested solutions are listed. The guideline is intended to help/improve the optimizing process for paste printing.

In the case of a discrepancy, the description or written criteria always takes precedence over the illustrations.

1.3 Background Standards such as J-STD-001 and IPC-A-610 provide tools that help to promote quality within the electronics industry. However, the standards do not include requirements of visual appearance of the paste deposit. The industry has, for some time, expressed a wish for a standard to support the users in the solder paste printing process. IPC-7527 will help improve the quality in this very sensitive process.

1.4 Terms and Definitions Other than those terms listed below, the definitions of terms used in this standard are in accordance with IPC-T-50. Terms quoted from IPC-T-50 are marked with *.

1.4.1 Classification

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired, but not critical. Typically the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime

cannot be tolerated, or the end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh.

Target Condition A condition that is close to perfect/preferred, however, it is a desirable condition and not always achievable and may not be necessary to ensure reliability of the assembly in its service environment.

Acceptable Condition Indicates a condition that, while not necessarily perfect, will maintain the integrity and reliability of the assembly in its service environment.

Defect Condition A condition that may be insufficient to ensure the form, fit, or function of the assembly in its end use environment. Defect conditions **shall** be dispositioned by the manufacturer based on design, service, and customer requirements. Disposition may be to rework, repair, scrap, or use as is. Repair or use as is may require customer concurrence.

A defect for Class 1 automatically implies a defect for Class 2 and 3. A defect for Class 2 implies a defect for Class 3.

1.4.2 Disposition* The determination of how defects should be treated. Dispositions include, but are not limited to, rework, use as is, scrap, or repair.

1.4.3 Slumping Solder paste flows out after it is applied. See more in IPC-HDBK-005.

1.4.4 Combined Conditions There may be situations where a combination of maximum deviations in form, placement, coverage, and height can result in lack of soldering or wrong amount of solder paste. The manufacturer is responsible for identification of such conditions.

1.4.5 Designed Volume The designed volume is equal to the area of the stencil aperture times the stencil thickness (volume = length x width x height). Volume is not a visually inspectable condition.

1.5 Specialized Designs This standard, as an industry consensus document, cannot address all of the possible components and product design combinations. Where uncommon or specialized technologies are used, it may be necessary to develop unique acceptance criteria. However, where similar characteristics exist, this document may provide guidance for product acceptance criteria. Often, unique definition is necessary to consider the specialized characteristics while considering product performance criteria. The development should include customer involvement or consent. For Class 3, the criteria **shall** include agreed definition of product acceptance.